A retrospective study on the use of a dental dressing to reduce dry socket incidence in smokers
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This study assessed the effectiveness of using an oxidized cellulose dental dressing in order to reduce the rate of alveolar osteitis after posterior tooth extraction in smokers. Dry socket incidences of heavy smokers from 4 independent dental clinics, which routinely used oxidized cellulose dental dressings to mitigate dry socket formation between March 2011 and December 2012, were compiled and evaluated. All extraction sites healed uneventfully except for those cases that developed dry sockets. Overall, 1.7% of male patients and 2.2% of female patients developed dry sockets. No conclusive relationship was found between the number of cigarettes smoked and dry socket formation among patients in this study. The results of this study were consistent with the view that gender, age, postextraction regimen, and multiple extractions affect dry socket formation. The results indicate that an oxidized cellulose dental dressing postextraction is a safe and effective method for mitigating dry socket formation among smokers.

Received: June 30, 2014
Revised: November 13, 2014
Accepted: February 16, 2015

A dry socket is characterized by the nonformation or early loss of a blood clot from a tooth extraction site before the wound has healed.1 Clinically, dry socket formation is associated with intense pain, a foul smell, and the disappearance of blood clots from the socket after tooth extraction. Causative investigations of dry socket formation have often been complicated by the presence of multiple, interrelated risk factors, including patient age, gender, smoking status, practitioner’s experience, effort of extraction, and postextraction treatment regimen (such as cureting). Generally, mandibular third molar extractions have exhibited the highest dry socket incidence due to the extensive effort required to extract the entrenched root structure.1,3

Smoking is known to increase the risk of dry socket formation. In a study of mandibular third molar extractions, smokers were found to be 5 times as likely to develop dry sockets as nonsmokers.4,5 Studies have shown that the risk of dry socket formation increased with an increased daily consumption of cigarettes. Individuals who smoked on the day of surgery or Postoperative Day 1 had the highest incidence of dry sockets.3 In a review of 1305 extractions among 805 patients performed in a dental training center, the incidence of dry sockets was significantly higher among smokers (12%) versus nonsmokers (4%).6 Tooth sectioning, smoking, and degree of smoking were found to be associated with the development of alveolar osteitis, with a significant odds ratio of 4.3, 4.5, and 12.3, respectively.7

Smoking affects blood coagulation, platelet aggregation, clotting dynamics, and fibrin structure.8,9,14 Smokers exhibit a decrease in oral leukocyte function, are less responsive to periodontal treatment, and exhibit reduced bleeding in the extraction socket.15,17 The suction action of smoking was hypothesized to affect the formation of dry sockets by disrupting or dislocating immature blood clots and by introducing foreign substances into the extraction site. However, suction using straws did not cause dry sockets when third molars were extracted, implying that the effect of smoking on dry socket formation was due to a biological cause rather than a mechanical one.18

Many preventive methods to reduce the prevalence of dry sockets have been reported with varying results. These methods include postextraction irrigation; the use of antibiotics, analgesics, antiseptic, and antifibrinolytic agents; as well as the placement of intra-alveolar dressings.19,34 Drugs were either used alone or soaked into intra-alveolar dressings to facilitate the application and release of the agents.26-31 Studies of Gelfoam (Pfizer, Inc.)—an intra-alveolar dressing—reported a reduction in dry socket formation in third molar extraction sites when impregnated with either tetracycline or lincomycin; however, no reduction was reported when Gelfoam was used alone or mixed with aminoacidine.31,32,35-38 Despite intensive research, the exact cause of dry socket formation, as well as an effective treatment to prevent its formation, remains uncertain.19,31,35-38

Oxidized cellulose is a generic term referring to chemically oxidized cellulose material, whose properties depend upon the selection of the cellulose material and the chemical oxidation methods employed. Several oxidized cellulose
products have been approved for clinical use as hemostatic wound dressings to control bleeding. However, not all oxidized cellulose products exhibit the same characteristics and performance. BenaCel oxidized cellulose dental dressing (Unicare Biomedical, Inc.) was cleared by the FDA for use as a wound dressing in extraction sites and for the management of dry sockets. The present retrospective study was initiated after 1 of the original investigators identified a significant reduction in the dry socket rate among heavy smokers, who consumed 1 or more packs of cigarettes per day, after administering the oxidized cellulose dental dressing postextraction. The hypothesis was that an effective wound dressing material will aid in controlling bleeding by stabilizing blood clots, thus reducing the incidence of dry socket. The objective was to determine the safety and effectiveness of oxidized cellulose dental dressings in reducing dry socket incidence in a routine, noncontrolled, clinical setting. The dry socket incidence obtained from this study was then compared with values reported in the literature in order to evaluate the effectiveness of this method.

**Materials and methods**

This retrospective study compiled and analyzed the incidence of dry socket as recorded by 4 independent practitioners. These practitioners operated at their own clinics at separate locations in 3 different states (Minnesota, Tennessee, and South Carolina). Between March 2011 and December 2012, the practitioners routinely used oxidized regenerated cellulose dental dressings to control bleeding and mitigate dry socket formation for high-risk patients, such as (but not exclusively) those taking blood thinners and smokers. One practitioner began the use of the dental dressing early and produced the bulk of the data during the full length of the study period. The remaining 3 practitioners did not use the dressing until the last 8 months of the study period and produced significantly less data. To focus on high-risk scenarios with subsequent higher dry socket incidences, the data of all smoking patients, whose extractions involved only posterior teeth (the first premolar to the third molar)—regardless of the systemic health of the patients and independent of whether they had diseases such as blood clotting disorders, hypertension, or diabetes—were included in the study. Each practitioner used his/her own preferred extraction and postextraction regimen. All extractions were traumatic, and no dental implants were performed immediately or planned following extraction. The common link was the use of oxidized regenerated cellulose dental dressings to alleviate dry socket formation for all patients.

Two forms of BenaCel (plugs, 5 mm x 7 mm; sheets, 15 mm x 15 mm) were used by the practitioners. Both forms are made of the same material and exhibit the same performance, differing only in their configurations. Generally, sheets were used in third molar extractions and plugs were used in all other posterior sites, depending on the size of the extraction site and the doctor’s preference.

According to the manufacturer’s product information, the dental dressing material should dissolve, transforming into a gelatinous material after contact with blood, and then be absorbed within 5 days. Depending upon the size of the extraction socket, 1-2 units of dental dressing were packed into the extraction site. The dental dressing was inserted into the apex of the extraction site without suture retention—unless the site was shallow or a surgical extraction was performed, in which case a crisscrossed suture was used to keep the dressing in the socket. A roll of wet sterile gauze was placed over the extraction site, and biting pressure was applied by the patient until the bleeding stopped.

In general, patients were instructed to refrain from smoking 1 day before extraction and for at least 1 day postextraction. Extractions were performed with patients under local anesthesia. Postextraction, 3 investigators curetted the sockets, while 1 investigator did not curette except when the site was infected. Patients were instructed to return for treatment if bleeding or excessive pain occurred. If a patient returned, a diagnosis of dry socket was made based on the following criteria: a constant, radiating pain 3-4 days postoperatively, partial or total absence of a blood clot, and/or tenderness upon palpation.

Dry socket incidences were analyzed for the following variables: patient age, gender, number of packs of cigarettes smoked per day, and curetting status.
Results

The data of 472 patients (all smokers, with 707 posterior extractions performed) were used for this retrospective analysis. Of these procedures, 519 extractions (73%) were generated from 1 clinic, while 47 (7%), 64 (9%), and 77 (10%) extractions were collected from the remaining 3 clinics. The number of extractions reflects the experience and length of time the practitioners used the dressing during the study period and the demographic of the patient population for each clinic. Patients’ ages ranged from 11 to 86. The average ages of male and female patients were 39 and 41 years of age, respectively, with men being a larger proportion of the sample (61%). More than 85% of patients were between 21 and 60 years of age (Chart 1). Each patient’s smoking status was categorized by the number of packs smoked per day. On average, patients consumed 1.1 ± 0.4 packs of cigarettes each day, with a range of 0.5 to 3.0 packs per day. The majority of patients smoked 1 pack of cigarettes per day (Chart 2). Second and third molar extractions constituted about 50% of all extractions (Chart 3). The remaining extractions included first molar, first premolar, and second premolar extraction sites. Overall, 71% of men and 68% of women received single extractions, and 22% of men and women received double extractions. The remaining patients had >2 extractions during the same visit.

Table 1 lists patient population, number of extractions, and the percentage of patients who developed dry sockets in accordance with the patients’ genders. A total of 10 dry sockets developed in 9 of 472 patients with 707 extractions. Four female and five male patients experienced dry sockets postextraction. One 33-year-old woman who smoked 1 pack of cigarettes per day had 2 dry sockets after multiple extractions from the same visit. Overall, 1.7% of men (1.1% of extractions) and 2.2% of women (1.9% of extractions) developed dry sockets.

Chart 4 shows the percentage of patients in each age bracket that developed dry sockets. The 4 women, who developed a total of 5 dry sockets, were between 33 and 42 years of age; of these women, 2 each were between 33 and 40 (4.7%) and between 41 and 42 (4.4%) years of age. Five dry sockets occurred in 5 men who were between 27 and 54 years of age; of these men, 2 each were between the ages of 41 and 50 (3.0%) and between 51 and 60 (5.1%). One man between the ages of 21 and 30 (1.25%) also developed a dry socket.

Chart 5 depicts the prevalence of dry sockets versus the number of packs of cigarettes smoked by patients per day. The dry socket prevalence ranged from 1.4% for 1 pack per day smokers to 6.3% for 1.5 packs per day.
Table 2. Curettage, extraction, and dry sockets statistics per practitioner in study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practitioner ID</th>
<th>Curettage</th>
<th>Number of extractions</th>
<th>Number of dry sockets</th>
<th>Percentage of dry sockets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

This work is one of the earliest studies of the use of oxidized cellulose dental dressings to prevent dry socket formation in smokers. Although oxidized cellulose products such as Surgicel (Johnson & Johnson) have widely been used as hemostatic agents to control bleeding postextraction, there have been very few studies on the effectiveness of oxidized cellulose as a wound dressing to prevent or manage dry socket formation. Suleiman reported the use of an oxidized cellulose dressing increased dry socket incidence. In contrast, the dressing used in the present study was found to be biocompatible and noninterfering with wound healing. The administration of the oxidized cellulose dental dressing was reported to be easy and noninvasive to the practitioner’s regular routine. All study sites achieved hemostasis before patients were discharged from the clinics. Other than the cases of patients who developed dry sockets, postoperative healing was uneventful. There were no reported instances of swelling, discomfort, infection, or prolonged bleeding caused by the use of the dental dressing. The wound healing characteristics of oxidized cellulose dressings observed in this study were similar to the results of a preliminary retrospective study in which no postoperative bleeding, infections, or alveolitis were reported among 150 extractions from 118 patients, including both smokers and nonsmokers. Dry socket incidence rates among smokers have been reported to range from 6.4% to 40.0% in mandibular third molar extractions and from 3.4% to 12.0% in general extractions, respectively. Dry socket incidence increases with increasing degrees of smoking, and patients who smoke immediately before or after tooth extraction have the highest incidence of dry socket formation. In the present study, approximately 90% of smokers smoked an average of 1 pack per day or more; these patients were considered “heavy” smokers. Despite emphatic instructions to refrain from smoking, the degree of patient compliance with these instructions could not be ascertained. It could be supposed that not all patients complied with the instructions, as some patients were observed smoking immediately after walking out of the clinics. Even so, the overall dry socket incidence rate for posterior extractions in smoking patients was 1.9%. Compared with the reported data in the literature, the relatively low incidence of dry sockets in this study support the hypothesis that oxidized cellulose dental dressings reduce dry socket incidence among smokers.

Female patients showed a slightly higher prevalence of dry sockets compared to male patients (2.2% versus 1.7%). The 4 women who developed dry sockets ranged in age between 33 and 42 years. Given the relatively low overall occurrence of dry sockets in the study, the concentration of dry socket patients in such a narrow age range was significant and suggested that adult, premenopausal female smokers are at an increased risk of developing dry sockets. The 5 men who developed dry sockets ranged in age from 27 to 54. There appeared to be an upward trend in dry socket prevalence among male smokers as age increased, with the older (51 to 60) age group exhibiting a higher dry socket prevalence (5.1%) than other age groups (Chart 4).

There was no trending effect on dry socket incidence with regard to the number of packs of cigarettes consumed per day (Chart 5). However, as approximately 80% of patients smoked 1 pack of cigarettes per day, it cannot be concluded that there is any relationship between the number of packs of cigarettes smoked and dry socket prevalence.

The practitioner who produced the most data had the least amount of dry socket incidence. Thus, the experience of practitioners in extraction appears to affect the dry socket incidence. However, this factor is commingled with the postextraction curettage regimen, as the most experienced practitioner in this study did not curette the socket postextraction, while the remaining 3 practitioners did. Both male and female patients showed a higher prevalence of dry socket formation if the postextraction treatment regimen involved curettage. In addition, multiple extractions also resulted in higher percentages of dry socket formation among both genders.

Conclusion

The findings of this retrospective study are consistent with those reported in the literature, in that a patient’s gender, age, and postextraction regimen—along with practitioners’ experience—have been identified as factors affecting the prevalence of dry socket formation, even among smoking patients. While further studies are needed to substantiate the
results derived from this retrospective study, it can be concluded that the use of the oxidized cellulose dental dressing appears to be safe and effective in reducing dry socket formation among smokers. Adult, premenopausal female smokers and older male smokers emerged as groups who are at higher risk of developing dry sockets. Multiple extractions in 1 visit and postextractions currying also resulted in higher dry socket incidences. The overall results appeared to indicate that the use of oxidized cellulose is effective in reducing dry socket incidence regardless of the patient’s systemic health conditions and the practitioner’s treatment modality.
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